

WG3 Terminology for Minimally Invasive Cancers, Integrating Morphology, Biology, and Clinical Management - Public Comments February 13, 2012 – February 17, 2012

Practice Type		
Clinician	1	20%
Pathologist	2	40%
Other, please specify	2	40%

Years of Experience		
More than 20 years	4	80%
11-20 years	1	20%
10 years or less	0	0%
Currently a resident/student	0	0%

Practice Setting		
Academic	4	66%
Community/Private Practice	0	0%
Government	1	17%
Industry	1	17%
Insurance/Payers	0	0%
*Other, please specify	0	0%

Clinician Public Comments

Question 1: Were any published articles omitted from consideration (please refer to Work Group scope/key questions and inclusion/exclusion criteria links above)? How would these articles impact the Work Group's conclusions?

no

Question 2: Do you think there are any significant misrepresentations or biases in the draft recommendations?

no

Question 3: Do you have any disagreements with the main conclusions and/or evaluations of the literature?

LVSI is an important prognostic factor; it should be mentioned (OK) but I will like to exclude cases showing LVSI from the category superficially invasive squamous cell carcinoma of the cervix as they are not cured by simple excision; pathological assessment of lymph nodes is an additional step required in these cases.

Question 4: What topics/gaps for future research/guidelines should be priorities?

adenocarcinoma of the cervix

Question 5: Other comments (including, if applicable, support for the recommendations):

good work!

Pathologist Public Comments

Question 1: Were any published articles omitted from consideration (please refer to Work Group scope/key questions and inclusion/exclusion criteria links above)? How would these articles impact the Work Group's conclusions?

None that would have changed the conclusions.

Question 2: Do you think there are any significant misrepresentations or biases in the draft recommendations?

No

Question 3: Do you have any disagreements with the main conclusions and/or evaluations of the literature?

No

Question 4: What topics/gaps for future research/guidelines should be priorities?

No responses received

Question 5: Other comments (including, if applicable, support for the recommendations):

No responses received

Other

Practice Type
Cancer Registrar Public Health Scientist

Question 1: Were any published articles omitted from consideration (please refer to Work Group scope/key questions and inclusion/exclusion criteria links above)? How would these articles impact the Work Group's conclusions?

No responses received

Question 2: Do you think there are any significant misrepresentations or biases in the draft recommendations?

No responses received

Question 3: Do you have any disagreements with the main conclusions and/or evaluations of the literature?

No responses received

Question 4: What topics/gaps for future research/guidelines should be priorities?

No responses received

Question 5: Other comments (including, if applicable, support for the recommendations):

No responses received